Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 1 of 25

PHILLIP A. TALBERT 1 United States Attorney FILED 2 TODD A. PICKLES MATTHEW M. YELOVICH Assistant United States Attorneys JAN 0 5 2017 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 4 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CASE NO. 2:17 - CR - 0 0 0 6 TLN 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff. 18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Embezzle or Steal from a Tribal Organization; 18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement or Theft from a Tribal Organization 13 v. (48 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 1519 - Falsification of Document in Federal Investigation; 18 U.S.C. § 1001 14 JOHN A. CROSBY, INES S. CROSBY, and - False Statement to Federal Agent (4 Counts); 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to False LESLIE A. LOHSE, 15 Tax Return (10 Counts); 26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure Defendants. to File Tax Return (5 Counts) 16 17 18 INDICTMENT 19 COUNT ONE: [18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Embezzle or Steal from a Tribal Organization] 20 The Grand Jury charges: 21 JOHN A. CROSBY, INES S. CROSBY, and 22 LESLIE A. LOHSE, 23 defendants herein, as follows: 24 I. PARTIES AND RELEVANT ENTITIES 25 At times relevant to this Indictment: 26 The Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians (hereinafter "the Tribe") was a federally-1. 27 recognized Indian tribe subject to the laws of the United States relating to Indian affairs. The Tribe 28

maintained offices and owned property in or around Orland and in or around Corning in Tehama County, California.

- 2. Defendant JOHN A. CROSBY was a resident of Shasta County, California. From approximately 2001 through April 2014, JOHN CROSBY was an officer, employee, and agent of the Tribe, and worked for the Tribe as the Economic Development Director. JOHN CROSBY also sat on the boards of Tribal entities and companies in which the Tribe invested.
- 3. Defendant INES S. CROSBY was a resident of Tehama County, California. From approximately 1996 through April 2014, INES CROBSY was an officer, employee and agent of the Tribe, and worked for the Tribe as the Tribal Administrator. INES CROSBY was the mother of JOHN CROSBY. INES CROSBY also sat on a board of one of the Tribal entities and on one of the companies in which the Tribe invested.
- 4. Defendant LESLIE A. LOHSE was a resident of Glenn County, California. From approximately 1998 through April 2014, LESLIE LOHSE was an officer, employee, and agent of the Tribe, and served as the Tribe's Treasurer and was a member of the Tribe's Tribal Council. LESLIE LOHSE also worked in the Tribe's administrative office with INES CROSBY. LESLIE LOHSE was the sister of INES CROSBY and the aunt of JOHN CROSBY.
- 5. Beginning in approximately 2002, the Tribe opened the Rolling Hills Casino ("Casino"), in Corning, California. Thereafter, the Tribe received considerable revenue from the operation of the Casino. The monies and funds generated from the Casino were the property of the Tribe, and were the main source of money for the Tribe's finances and operations. A portion of the monies and funds the Tribe received from the Casino also was paid to each member of the Tribe through regular payments.
- 6. Monies generated by the Casino were deposited into bank accounts in the name of and for the benefit of the Tribe. The defendants, because of their positions within the Tribe, had access to the monies and funds deposited into the Tribe's bank accounts.

II. THE CONSPIRACY

Beginning in or about January 2009, and continuing through at least in or about May
 2014, in Tehama, Shasta, and Glenn Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, and
 elsewhere, defendants JOHN A. CROSBY, INES S. CROSBY, and LESLIE A. LOHSE did knowingly

8

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

and intentionally conspire with each other and with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury to commit embezzlement and theft from a tribal organization in violation of Title 18, United States Code. Section 1163.

8. The objects of the conspiracy were to embezzle, steal, and knowingly convert for the defendants' use and the use of another the moneys, funds, assets, credits, and other property of the Tribe, and to conceal evidence of such embezzlement, theft, and conversion.

III. MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

The conspiracy was carried out in the following ways, among others:

- 9. The defendants used their access to the Tribe's bank accounts to make purchases and payments for their benefit and the benefit of others, including family members, through a variety of means, such as the following:
 - a. One or more of the defendants wrote checks from the Tribe's accounts to each other, some bearing the memorandum line "travel."
 - b. One or more of the defendants wrote checks from the Tribe's accounts to "cash."
 - c. One or more of the defendants wrote checks from the Tribe's accounts to make payments on their personal credit card balances and the personal credit card balances of others, including family members.
 - d. The defendants wrote checks from the Tribe's accounts to vendors for personal purchases such as vehicles and home improvements.
 - e. One or more of the defendants used cashier's checks drawn on the Tribe's accounts for personal purchases, such as real estate transactions.
 - f. One or more of the defendants caused phone and online payments to be made from the Tribe's accounts for their personal credit card balances and the personal credit card balances of others.
 - g. One or more of the defendants transferred money online from the Tribe's accounts to their personal bank accounts.
 - h. One or more of the defendants caused wire transfers from the Tribe's accounts to pay for chartered plane travel.

23

25

28

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 4 of 25

- 10. The defendants' personal expenditures for which the Tribe's funds were used included paying for vehicles, travel, clothing, electronics and other personal items, home renovation, remodeling, and improvement, personal business investments, and otherwise to make payments on their personal credit card balances.
- 11. While making such expenditures of the Tribe's funds and after doing so, the defendants acted to avoid detection and conceal evidence of their embezzlement, theft, and conversion through a variety of means, including the following:
 - a. One or more of the defendants caused false entries to be placed on checks concealing the true purpose of the use of the money.
 - b. The defendants caused others to access the Casino's database to attempt to delete or destroy the Tribe's records.
 - c. The defendants falsified documents misrepresenting the nature of the monies and funds obtained by the defendants.
- 12. As a result of the embezzlement, theft, and conversion, and the concealment of such embezzlement, theft, and conversion, the defendants obtained at least \$6,000,000 of the Tribe's monies, funds, credits, assets, and property and used other Tribal assets for their personal benefit.

IV. **OVERT ACTS**

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish its objects, defendants JOHN CROSBY, INES CROSBY, and LESLIE LOHSE and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, committed and caused to be committed the following overt acts, among others, in the State and Eastern District of California and elsewhere:

- 13. On or about January 10, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$838,434.14 of the Tribe's funds to be deposited into escrow for the purchase of his personal residence.
- 14. On or about January 17, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$17,824.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the construction and installation of a Koi pond and water feature at her personal residence.
- 15. On or about January 24, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$49,016.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the construction and installation of a Koi pond and water feature at her

personal residence.

16. On or about January 30, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$7,927.34 of the

Tribe's funds to be paid towards the purchase of home owners insurance and carpet for his personal residence.

- 17. On or about February 14, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$3,085.43 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on her personal credit card balance.
- 18. On or about March 1, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$17,824.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the construction and installation of a Koi pond and water feature at her personal residence.
- 19. On or about March 6, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$55,120.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a trip to New Zealand.
- 20. On or about April 30, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$5,849.31 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on her personal credit card balance.
- 21. On or about May 10, 2012, LESLIE LOHSE caused approximately \$9,665.18 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on the personal credit card balance of Person 1.
- 22. On or about May 24, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$74,826.50 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the design, construction, and installation of a swimming pool and zero edge spa at his personal residence.
- 23. On or about June 7, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$119,131.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the design, construction, and installation of a swimming pool and zero edge spa at his personal residence.
- 24. On or about July 20, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$81,558.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the design, construction, and installation of a swimming pool and zero edge spa at his personal residence.
- 25. On or about July 27, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$45,613.72 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal car for Person 2.
- 26. On or about July 31, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$74,429.88 of the Tribe's funds to be paid towards the purchase of a personal car.

- 27. On or about August 2, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$34,100.55 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the construction of a covered patio at his personal residence.
- 28. On or about August 20, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$49,097.92 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the design, construction, and installation of a swimming pool and zero edge spa at his personal residence.
- 29. On or about September 14, 2012, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$39,691.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the construction of a covered patio at his personal residence.
- 30. On or about October 8, 2012, LESLIE LOHSE caused approximately \$5,746.75 of the Tribe's funds to be paid to herself by check with the memorandum falsely indicating it was for "travel."
- 31. On or about December 17, 2012, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$4,123.34 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on her personal credit card balance.
- 32. On or about January 6, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$14,231.82 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a hotel stay in San Diego, California.
- 33. On or about January 9, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$2,000.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of personal beauty products.
- 34. On or about January 14, 2013, LESLIE LOHSE caused approximately \$8,101.11 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on the personal credit card balance of Person 1.
- 35. On or about January 31, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$66,900.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal car.
- 36. On or about March 12, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$1,083.20 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of clothing.
- 37. On or about March 18, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$65,502.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a chartered flight to and from Honduras.
- 38. On or about March 21, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$59,594.25 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the design and construction of a fountain at his personal residence.
- 39. On or about April 4, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$1,155.00 in the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a handbag.

- 40. On or about April 24, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$14,022.40 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a Caribbean vacation.
- 41. On or about May 9, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$103,051.60 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a chartered flight to and from Punta Cana, Dominican Republic.
- 42. On or about May 17, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$53,373.40 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal car.
- 43. On or about May 21, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$97,350.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal sport boat.
- 44. On or about June 6, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$150,382.95 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of gold coins and precious metals.
- 45. On or about June 12, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$105,339.46 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a chartered flight to and from Hawaii.
- 46. On or about June 17, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$61,327.75 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal truck for Person 3.
- 47. On or about June 21, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$55,468.93 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a watch.
- 48. On or about July 24, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$16,885.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for landscaping and the design, construction, and installation of a putting green, irrigation system, and rock boulder walls at his personal residence.
- 49. On or about August 27, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$48,949.60 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a trip to East Africa.
- 50. On or about September 20, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$24,542.88 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal truck.
- .51. On or about September 27, 2013, LESLIE LOHSE caused approximately \$8,920.94 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on the personal credit card balance of Person 1.
- 52. On or about November 13, 2013, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$11,656.75 of the Tribe's funds to be paid to purchase jewelry.

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 8 of 25

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
- 53. On or about December 19, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$61,000.00 of the Tribe's funds to be transferred to JOHN CROSBY's personal account to pay down a home equity line of credit.
- 54. On or about December 27, 2013, JOHN CROSBY caused approximately \$55,000.00 of the Tribe's funds to be transferred to JOHN CROSBY's personal account to pay down a home equity line of credit.
- 55. On or about January 23, 2014, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$5,610.98 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on her personal credit card balance.
- 56. On or about February 27, 2014, INES CROSBY caused \$3,052.97 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of electronics.
- 57. On or about March 17, 2014, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$1,048.13 of the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on her personal credit card balance.
- 58. On or about March 21, 2014, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$93,331.05 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for the purchase of a personal car.
- 59. On or about April 1, 2014, INES CROSBY caused approximately \$2,050.00 of the Tribe's funds to be paid for a gym membership.
- 60. On or about April 11, 2014, LESLIE LOHSE caused approximately \$3,572.56 the Tribe's funds to be used to make a payment on the personal credit card balance of Person 1.
- 61. In or around April 2014, JOHN CROSBY, INES CROSBY, LESLIE LOHSE and others created and caused to be created false documents misrepresenting the nature of their receipt and use of Tribal monies and funds.
- 62. On or about May 15, 2014, JOHN CROSBY, INES CROSBY, LESLIE LOHSE, and others caused the Casino's computer servers to be remotely accessed and information stored on the servers to be erased.
- All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 9 of 25

COUNTS TWO THROUGH TWENTY-TWO:

[18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement and Theft from a Tribal Organization]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

o

JOHN A. CROSBY,

on or about the dates listed below, in Shasta and Tehama Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, being an officer, employee, and agent of an Indian tribal organization, that is the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe"), did embezzle, steal and willfully and knowingly convert to his own use and the use of another the following monies, funds, credits, assets and property belonging to the Tribe, as set forth below:

Count	<u>Date</u>	Money, Fund, Credit, Asset or Property
2	January 10, 2012	\$838,434.14
3	January 30, 2012	\$7,927.34
4	May 24, 2012	\$74,826.50
5	June 7, 2012	\$119,131.00
6	July 20, 2012	\$81,558.00
7	July 31, 2012	\$74,429.88
8	August 2, 2012	\$34,100.55
9	August 20, 2012	\$49,097.92
10	September 14, 2012	\$39,691.00
11	January 31, 2013	\$66,900.00
12	March 18, 2013	\$65,502.00
13	March 21, 2013	\$59,594.25
14	May 17, 2013	\$53,373.40
15	May 21, 2013	\$97,350.00
16	June 6, 2013	\$150,382.95
17	June 12, 2013	\$105,339.46
18	July 24, 2013	\$16,885.00

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 10 of 25

19	September 20, 2013	\$24,542.88
20	December 19, 2013	\$61,000.00
21	December 27, 2013	\$55,000.00
22	June 17, 2013	\$61,327.75

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1163.

COUNTS TWENTY-THREE THROUGH FORTY-FOUR:

[18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement and Theft from a Tribal Organization]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

INES S. CROSBY,

on or about the dates listed below, in Tehama County, in the State and Eastern District of California, being an officer, employee, and agent of an Indian tribal organization, that is the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe"), did embezzle, steal and willfully and knowingly convert to her own use and the use of another the following monies, funds, credits, assets and property belonging to the Tribe, as set forth below:

Count	<u>Date</u>	Money, Fund, Credit, Asset or Property
23	January 17, 2012	\$17,824.00
24	January 24, 2012	\$49,016.00
25	February 14, 2012	\$3,085.43
26	March 1, 2012	\$17,824.00
27	March 6, 2012	\$55,120.00
28	April 30, 2012	\$5,849.31
29	July 27, 2012	\$45,613.72
30	December 17, 2012	\$4,123.34
31	January 6, 2013	\$14,231.82
32	January 9, 2013	\$2,000.00
33	March 12, 2013	\$1,083.20

INDICTMENT

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 11 of 25

April 4, 2013	\$1,155.00
April 24, 2013	\$14,022.40
May 9, 2013	\$103,051.60
June 21, 2013	\$55,468.93
August 27, 2013	\$48,949.60
November 13, 2013	\$11,656.75
January 23, 2014	\$5,610.98
February 27, 2014	\$3,052.97
March 17, 2014	\$1,048.13
March 21, 2014	\$93,331.05
April 1, 2014	\$2,050.00
	April 24, 2013 May 9, 2013 June 21, 2013 August 27, 2013 November 13, 2013 January 23, 2014 February 27, 2014 March 17, 2014 March 21, 2014

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1163.

COUNTS FORTY-FIVE THROUGH FORTY-NINE:

[18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement and Theft from a Tribal Organization]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

on or about the dates listed below, in Tehama and Glenn Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, being an officer, employee, and agent of an Indian tribal organization, that is the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe"), did embezzle, steal and willfully and knowingly convert to her own use and the use of another the following monies, funds, credits, assets and property belonging to the

Tribe as set forth below:

Count	<u>Date</u>	Money, Fund, Credit, Asset or Property
45	May 10, 2012	\$9,665.18
46	October 8, 2012	\$5,746.75
47	January 14, 2013	\$8,101.11
48	September 27, 2013	\$8,920.94

INDICTMENT

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 12 of 25

1,	49	April 11, 2014	\$3,572.56	,
----	----	----------------	------------	---

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1163.

COUNT FIFTY: [18 U.S.C. § 1519 – Alteration or Falsification of Records in Federal Investigation]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

JOHN A. CROSBY, INES S. CROSBY, and LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendants herein, in Shasta, Tehama, and Glenn Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, between in or about April 2014, did knowingly alter and falsify a document purporting to show a multi-million dollar line of credit from the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians, with the intent to impede, obstruct, and influence the proper administration of a matter within the jurisdiction of Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs; the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation; and the Department of Treasury, Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation, each of which was a department and agency of the United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2 and 1519.

COUNT FIFTY-ONE: [18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) - False Statement to Federal Agent]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about June 4, 2015, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of a department or agency of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, to wit: the United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation, by falsely stating that (1) she had received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe") and (2) a purported line of credit agreement dated January 2001 was an original document. The statements were false because, as defendant LESLIE LOHSE then and

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 13 of 25

there knew, (1) LESLIE LOHSE had not received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Tribe; and (2) the purported line of credit agreement dated January 2001 was not in fact an original document, as it was created in 2014, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a).

Ì

<u>COUNT FIFTY-TWO</u>: [18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) – False Statement to Federal Agent]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

JOHN A. CROSBY,

defendant herein, on or about June 9, 2015, in Shasta County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of a department or agency of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, to wit: the United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation, by falsely stating that he had received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe"). The statement was false because, as defendant JOHN CROSBY then and there knew, he had not received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Tribe, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a).

COUNT FIFTY-THREE: [18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) - False Statement to Federal Agent]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about June 9, 2015, in Shasta County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of a department or agency of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, to wit: the United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation, by falsely stating that she had received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe"). The statement was false because, as defendant LESLIE LOHSE then and there knew, she had not received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Tribe, in

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 14 of 25

violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a).

3 COUNT FIFTY-FOUR:

[18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) – False Statement to Federal Agent]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

INES S. CROSBY,

defendant herein, on or about June 10, 2015, in Shasta County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of a department or agency of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, to wit: the United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation, by falsely stating that she had received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians ("the Tribe"). The statement was false because, as defendant INES CROSBY then and there knew, she had not received a line of credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 from the Tribe, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a).

<u>COUNT FIFTY-FIVE</u>: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

JOHN A. CROSBY,

defendant herein, on or about April 15, 2011, in Butte and Shasta Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$551,588 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2010, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$551,588, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 15 of 25

1	COUNT FIFTY-SIX: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to at False Tax Return]
2	The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T
3	JOHN A. CROSBY,
4	defendant herein, on or about April 11, 2012, in Butte and Shasta Counties, in the State and Eastern
5	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified
6	by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to
7	be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the
8	Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A.
9	CROSBY had a total joint income of \$434,905 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2011,
10	whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$434,905, in violation of
11	Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
12	
13	COUNT FIFTY-SEVEN: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]
14	The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T
15	JOHN A. CROSBY,
- 1	
16	defendant herein, on or about April 15, 2013, in Butte and Shasta Counties, in the State and Eastern
16 17	defendant herein, on or about April 15, 2013, in Butte and Shasta Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified
17	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified
17 18	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to
17 18 19	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the
17 18 19 20	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A.
17 18 19 20 21	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$510,203 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012,
17 18 19 20 21	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$510,203 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$510,203, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$510,203 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$510,203, in violation of
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$510,203 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$510,203, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$510,203 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$510,203, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1). FIFTY ** 3 COUNT SIXTY-EIGHT: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

T 15

INDICTMENT

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 16 of 25

District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$534,752 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2013, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$534,753 in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT FIFTY-NINE: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

JOHN A. CROSBY,

defendant herein, on or about October 15, 2015, in Butte and Shasta Counties, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which he did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that JOHN A. CROSBY had a total joint income of \$789,231 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2014, whereas, as he then and there knew he had a total joint income in excess of \$789,231 in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT SIXTY: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about April 7, 2011, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe to a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which she did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that LESLIE A.

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 17 of 25

LOHSE had a total joint income of \$575, 271 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2010, whereas, as she then and there knew LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income in excess of \$575,271, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT SIXTY-ONE: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about April 13, 2012, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe a tax return, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which she did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that LESLIE A. LOHSE and had a total joint income of \$551,981 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2011, whereas, as she then and there knew LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income in excess of \$551,981, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT SIXTY-TWO: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about April 15, 2013, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which she did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income of \$787,554 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2012, whereas, as she then and there knew LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income in excess of \$787,554, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 18 of 25

COUNT SIXTY-THREE: [26 U.S.C	C. § 7206(1)	– Making and	Subscribing to	False '	Tax Return]
·	•				

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about April 15, 2014, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which she did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income of \$688,391 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2013, whereas, as she then and there knew LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income in excess of \$688,391, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT SIXTY-FOUR: [26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges: T H A T

LESLIE A. LOHSE,

defendant herein, on or about April 2, 2015, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, did willfully make and subscribe a tax return, which contained and was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury, and which she did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter. That tax return, which was prepared and signed in the Eastern District of California and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, stated that LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income of \$1,698,183 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2014, whereas, as she then and there knew LESLIE A. LOHSE had a total joint income in excess of \$1,698,183, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

COUNT SIXTY-FIVE: [26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges:

INES S. CROSBY,

defendant herein, as follows:

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 19 of 25

- During the calendar year 2010, in Glenn County, in the State and Eastern District of California, INES CROSBY had and received gross income had and received gross income in excess of \$20,900.
- 2. By reason of such gross income, she was required by law, following the close of the calendar year 2010, and on or before April 15, 2011, to make an income tax return to the Internal Revenue Service Center, in Fresno, California, to a person assigned to receive returns at the local office of the Internal Revenue Service, or to another Internal Revenue Service office permitted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, stating specifically the items of her gross income and any deductions and credits to which she was entitled.
- 3. Well knowing and believing all of the foregoing, she did willfully fail, on or about April 15, 2011, in the Eastern District of California, and elsewhere, to make an income tax return, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203.

COUNT SIXTY-SIX: [26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges:

INES S. CROSBY,

defendant herein, as follows:

- 1. During the calendar year 2011, in the County of Glenn, State and Eastern District of California, INES CROSBY had and received gross income in excess of \$21,300.
- 2. By reason of such gross income, she was required by law, following the close of the calendar year 2011, and on or before April 15, 2012, to make an income tax return to the Internal Revenue Service Center, in Fresno, California, to a person assigned to receive returns at the local office of the Internal Revenue Service, or to another Internal Revenue Service office permitted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, stating specifically the items of her gross income and any deductions and credits to which she was entitled.
- Well knowing and believing all of the foregoing, she did willfully fail, on or about April
 15, 2012, in the Eastern District of California, to make an income tax return, all in violation of Title 26,
 United States Code, Section 7203.

COUNT SIXTY-SEVEN:

[26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return]

2

The Grand Jury further charges:

3

4

defendant herein, as follows:

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

INES S. CROSBY,

1. During the calendar year 2012, in the County of Glenn, State and Eastern District of California, INES CROSBY had and received gross income in excess of \$21,800.

- 2. By reason of such gross income, she was required by law, following the close of the calendar year 2012, and on or before April 15, 2013, to make an income tax return to the Internal Revenue Service Center, in Fresno, California, to a person assigned to receive returns at the local office of the Internal Revenue Service, or to another Internal Revenue Service office permitted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, stating specifically the items of her gross income and any deductions and credits to which she was entitled.
- 3. Well knowing and believing all of the foregoing, she did willfully fail, on or about April 15, 2013, in the Eastern District of California, to make an income tax return, all in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203.

[26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges:

INES S. CROSBY,

defendant herein, as follows:

COUNT SIXTY-EIGHT:

- 1. During the calendar year 2013, in the County of Glenn, State and Eastern District of California, INES CROSBY had and received gross income in excess of \$22,400.
- 2. By reason of such gross income, she was required by law, following the close of the calendar year 2013, and on or before April 15, 2014, to make an income tax return to the Internal Revenue Service Center, in Fresno, California to a person assigned to receive returns at the local office of the Internal Revenue Service, or to another Internal Revenue Service office permitted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, stating specifically the items of her gross income and any deductions and credits to which she was entitled.

Case 2:17-cr-00006-TLN Document 1 Filed 01/05/17 Page 21 of 25

3. Well knowing and believing all of the foregoing, she did willfully fail, on or about April 15, 2014, in the Eastern District of California, to make an income tax return, all in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203.

COUNT SIXTY-NINE:

[26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return]

The Grand Jury further charges:

INES S. CROSBY,

defendant herein, as follows:

- 1. During the calendar year 2014, in the County of Glenn, State and Eastern District of California, INES CROSBY had and received gross income in excess of \$22,700.
- 2. By reason of such gross income, she was required by law, following the close of the calendar year 2014, and on or before April 15, 2015, to make an income tax return to the Internal Revenue Service Center, in Fresno, California, to a person assigned to receive returns at the local office of the Internal Revenue Service, or to another Internal Revenue Service office permitted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, stating specifically the items of her gross income and any deductions and credits to which she was entitled.
- 3. Well knowing and believing all of the foregoing, she did willfully fail, on or about April 15, 2015, in the Eastern District of California, to make an income tax return, all in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203.

A TRUE BILL.

/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA

FOREPERSON

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

No	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	Eastern District of California
	Criminal Division
	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs.
	JOHN A. CROSBY, INES S. CROSBY, LESLIE A. LOHSE
	INDICTMENT
VIOLATION(S):	18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Embezzle or Steal from a Tribal Organization 18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement or Theft from a Tribal Organization 18 U.S.C. § 1519 – Falsification of Document in Federal Investigation 18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statement to a Federal Agent 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Fraud and False Statements on Tax Returns 26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return
A true bill,	/s/ Signature on file w/AUSA
	Foreman.
i	Ourt this day IAQ, A.D. 20
Bail, \$	NO BAIL WARRANT OD TO COLI DEJENDOUTS
	powens

GPO 863 525

United States v. Crosby, et al. Penalties for Indictment

Defendants

JOHN CROSBY, INES CROSBY, and LESLIE LOHSE

COUNT 1: ALL DEFENDANTS

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy to Embezzle or Steal from a Tribal

Organization

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNTS 2–22: JOHN CROSBY

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement or Theft from a Tribal Organization

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNTS 23-44: INES CROSBY

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement or Theft from a Tribal Organization

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNTS 45-49: LESLIE CROSBY

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1163 – Embezzlement or Theft from a Tribal Organization

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNT 50: ALL DEFENDANTS

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1519 – Falsification of Document in Federal Investigation

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 20 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNT 51: LESLIE LOHSE

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statement to Government Agent

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNT 52: JOHN CROSBY

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statement to Government Agent

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNT 53: LESLIE LOHSE

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statement to Government Agent

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNT 54: INES CROSBY

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 – False Statement to Government Agent

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 5 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$250,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 3 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNTS 55-59: JOHN CROSBY

VIOLATION: 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 3 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$100,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 1 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNTS 60-64: LESLIE LOHSE

VIOLATION: 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) – Making and Subscribing to a False Tax Return

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 3 years in prison; or

Fine of up to \$100,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 1 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$100 (mandatory on each count)

COUNTS 65-69: INES CROSBY

VIOLATION: 26 U.S.C. § 7203 – Failure to File Tax Return

PENALTIES: Maximum sentence of 1 year in prison; or

Fine of up to \$25,000; or both fine and imprisonment

Up to 1 years supervised release

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: \$50 (mandatory on each count)