1	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Patrick Irvine (No. 006534) Douglas C. Northup (No. 013987)		
2			
3	Carrie Pixler Ryerson (No. 028072) 2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600		
4	Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429 Telephone: (602) 916-5000		
5	Email: <u>pirvine@fclaw.com</u> Email: <u>dnorthup@fclaw.com</u>		
6	Email: cryerson@fclaw.com		
7	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Matthew D. McGill (admitted pro hac vice)		
8	Matthew B. Wedfir (admitted pro hac vice) Matthew A. Hoffman (admitted pro hac vice) Timothy W. Loose (admitted pro hac vice)		
9	1050 Connecticut Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20036		
10	Telephone: (202) 955-8500		
10	Emaîl: mmcgill@gibsondunn.com Email: mhoffman@gibsondunn.com Email: tloose@gibsondunn.com		
12			
13	Attorneys for Defendant Director Daniel Bergin, Arizona Department		
13	of Gaming		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
16	The Tohono O'odham Nation,	No. CV-15-01135-PHX-DGC	
17	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF THE TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION	
18	V.		
19	Douglas Ducey, Governor of Arizona;		
20	Mark Brnovich, Arizona Attorney General; and Daniel Bergin, Director,		
21	Arizona Department of Gaming, in their official capacities,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	You are hereby notified that, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and		
25	30(b)(6), the deposition will be taken upon oral examination of Plaintiff The Tohono		
26	O'odham Nation at the time and place stated herein before an officer authorized by law to		
FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	10639584.1		

PHOENIX

administer oaths, and continuing thereafter from time to time until concluded. Testimony 1 2 will be recorded by audio-video and stenographic means. LiveNote may be used. 3 Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6), Plaintiff is required to designate one or more of its 4 officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf 5 who are most knowledgeable on the matters described in **Exhibit A** attached hereto. For each designated deponent, the Nation may set forth the matters on which the person will 6 7 testify. Such persons are required to testify to matters known or reasonably available to 8 Plaintiff. 9 DATE AND TIME OF DEPOSITION: August 7, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. 10 PLACE OF DEPOSITION: Fennemore Craig, P.C. 2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600 11 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 12 13 DATED this 22nd day of July, 2015. 14 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 15 16 By s/ *Douglas C. Northup* Patrick Irvine 17 Douglas C. Northup Carrie Pixler Ryerson 18 -and-19 Matthew D. McGill 20 Matthew A. Hoffman Timothy W. Loose 21 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 22 Attorneys for Defendant Director Daniel Bergin, Arizona 23 Department of Gaming 24 25 26

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

10639584.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on July 22, 2015, I electronically transmitted the attached 2 document to the Clerk's Office using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a 3 Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants: 4 Jonathan Landis Jantzen Seth P. Waxman Danielle Spinelli Laura Lynn Berglan 5 Kelly P. Dunbar Tohono O'odham Nation Sonya L. Lebsack Office of the Attorney General Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP P. O. Box 830 6 1875 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Sells, AZ 85634 7 Washington, DC 2006 Email: jonathan.jantzen@tonation-nsn.gov Email: seth.waxman@wilmerhale.com Email: laura.berglan@tonation-nsn.gov 8 Email: danielle.spinelli@wilmerhale.com Attorneys for Plaintiff The Tohono O'odham Nation Email: <u>kelly.dunbar@wilmerhale.com</u> Email: sonya.lebsack@wilmerhale.com 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 The Tohono O'odham Nation 11 Heidi McNeil Staudenmaier Thomas K. Chenal Brett W. Johnson Karen J. Hartman-Tellez 12 Sara J. Agne Office of the Arizona Attorney General Thomas Člees 1275 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 13 Snell & Wilmer LLP One Arizona Center Email: thomas.chenal@azag.gov Email: karen.hartman@azag.gov 14 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Attorneys for Defendant 15 Email: <u>hstaudenmaier@swlaw.com</u> Attorney General Mark Brnovich Email: bwjohnson@swlaw.com Email: sagne@swlaw.com 16 Email: tclees@swlaw.com 17 Attorneys for Defendant Governor Douglas A. Ducey 18 19 s/ Phyllis Warren 20 An employee of Fennemore Craig, P.C. 21 22 23 24 25 26

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

10639584.1

1

EXHIBIT A

2

3

DEFINITIONS

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

- 1. "Nation" refers to Plaintiff, The Tohono O'odham Nation, all entities owned, controlled, or operated by Plaintiff (including, but not limited to, the Tohono O'odham Gaming Enterprise and Tohono O'odham Gaming Office), and all employees, servants, agents, representatives, and persons or entities acting on behalf of any of the foregoing.
 - 2. "Revenue" refers to the total income produced from any source.
- "Maricopa County Casino" refers to a resort and gaming facility that the 3. Nation intends to open in Maricopa County.
- 4 "Gaming Operations" refers to Class 2 and Class 3 Gaming as defined herein.
- 5. "Vendors" refers to companies or individuals with which/whom the Nation does business or intends to do business in connection with the Maricopa County Casino.
- 6. "Class 2 Gaming" refers to gaming as defined in 25 U.S.C. § 2703(6), including bingo, certain similar games, and certain card games. See paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 7. "Class 3 Gaming" refers to Gaming as defined in 25 U.S.C. § 2703(8), which is often referred to as "casino-style gaming," that does not encompass Class 1 and Class 2 Gaming. See paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 8. "Employees" refers to those individuals whom the Nation employs or intends to employ to provide services at the Maricopa County Casino.
- 9. "Department Notice" refers to the vendor notices by the Arizona Department of Gaming described in paragraphs 85-86 and 88 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

1

2 3

4 5

7

8

9

6

10

11

12 13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

10639584.1

TOPICS

- 1. The facts and circumstances surrounding the Nation's decision to begin construction on a casino in Maricopa County.
- 2. The facts and circumstances surrounding the Nation's claim that it has already invested \$100 million in the Maricopa County casino. D.E. 3 at 37.
- 3. The Nation's annual Revenue, including the sources of the Revenue and amount of revenue from each source, from 2007-2015.
- 4. The Nation's anticipated Revenue from the Maricopa County Casino, including, but not limited to, all anticipated Revenue that would be generated if the Maricopa County Casino operates as a Class 2 Gaming facility, all anticipated Revenue that would be generated if the Maricopa County Casino operates as a Class 3 Gaming facility, and all anticipated Revenue that will be generated from the Maricopa County Casino from sources other than Class 2 and Class 3 Gaming.
- 5. The number of customers the Nation expects to attract per month to engage in Class 2 or Class 3 gaming at the Maricopa County Casino.
- 6. The Nation's hiring plan and hiring practices for the Maricopa County Casino.
- 7. The prior and current schedules for completion and opening of the Maricopa County Casino and any estimated costs for deviating from the current construction schedule.
- 8. The Nation's allegation that Gaming Operations are or will be chilled as a result of Defendants' conduct.
 - 9. All allegations in the Verified Complaint.
- 10. The Nation's allegation that it is suffering a loss of goodwill and other intangible harms.
 - 11. The impacts (either positive or negative) the Maricopa County Casino will

1	have on Maricopa County.		
2	12.	The impact of the Department Notice on the Maricopa County Casino,	
3	including in relation to Employees and Vendors.		
4	13.	Communications with prospective vendors, contractors, and employees,	
5	including th	e declarants in this action, regarding the provision of their services to the	
6	Maricopa County casino and the Vendor and Employee Notices referred to at paragraphs		
7	86-87 of the Complaint.		
8	14.	Communications with prospective vendors, contractors, and employees,	
9	including the declarants in this action, regarding the new forms referenced at paragraph 90		
10	of the Complaint.		
11	15.	The Nation's responses to Defendants' requests for production.	
12	16.	The Nation's plans for operating the Maricopa County Casino if Class 3	
13	Gaming does not occur at the facility.		
14	17.	The Nation's plans for obtaining land in Maricopa County upon which the	
15	Nation intended to build a casino and resort.		
16	18.	The Nation's property holdings in Maricopa County.	
17	19.	The Nation's plans for any revenue generated by the Maricopa County	
18	Casino.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

PHOENIX

10639584.1